

REMARKS / DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Applicant(s) respectfully request(s) the Examiner to acknowledge the claim for foreign priority and receipt of any certified copies of priority document(s) provided earlier or received from the International Bureau.

The claims in general are amended for one or more non-statutory reasons, for example to correct one or more informalities or obvious errors, remove figure label number(s), and/or replace European claim phraseology with U.S. claim language having the same meaning. The claims are not narrowed in scope. New dependent claims are added to at least partially restore the original range of claims that existed before multiple dependencies were removed in the preliminary amendment. No new matter is added.

The Office action restricts the invention to one of the following groups of claims:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 7-9, drawn to a device or apparatus; and
- II. Claim 6, drawn to a method.

In response, applicant(s) provisionally elect(s), with traverse, to prosecute claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9, identified as species I, along with any newly added claims drawn to the same species. Applicant(s) reserve(s) the right to prosecute the subject matter of the nonelected claim(s) in a divisional or other continuing application.

Applicants' traversal is based at least on the fact that while the Office action asserts that groups 1 and 2 lack the same or corresponding technical features, the Office action immediately thereafter states that they both recite the same technical feature, i.e., the recited holder device. This is contradictory and does not support the "lack of single general inventive concept" assertion.

Additionally, the different groups, regardless of whether or not they should be considered separate inventions, are so closely related that the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden.

**MPEP § 803 - Restriction - When Proper**

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

Withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination of the application on its merits are now respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric M. Bram  
Reg. 37,285  
Att'y for Applicant(s)  
Philips Intellectual Property  
& Standards

P.O. Box 3001  
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001  
Phone: (914) 333-9635  
Fax: (914) 332-0615